

Mediation Program  
Eastern District of New York  
Questionnaire for Attorneys in Mediated Cases

Our records indicate that you recently represented a client in a case referred to mediation authorized by EDNY Local Rule 83.11. To evaluate the performance of our Mediators, we need to know the views of those who have participated in the mediation session. This questionnaire asks about your experience in the case identified below. Your responses are confidential and will not be known to the court, other attorneys, the mediator, or the parties.

Please answer all questions with reference to the following case only:

\_\_\_\_\_ v. \_\_\_\_\_

Docket Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of case \_\_\_\_\_

Mediator \_\_\_\_\_

Mediation Session date (s) \_\_\_\_\_

If you were not present at the mediation session in this case, please provide any information to help us contact the correct attorney and return the blank questionnaire in the enclosed envelop.

Attorney name: \_\_\_\_\_

Firm name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Mediator Evaluation Questionnaire for Attorneys in Mediated Cases  
December 2000

Mediator Evaluation  
Page 2

1. Overall, how helpful or detrimental was the mediation in the resolution of this case?

Please check one response.

1. Very helpful.
2. Somewhat helpful.
3. It had little impact on the case.
4. Somewhat detrimental.
5. Very detrimental.

2. Was your client present at the mediation session (s)?

1. Yes.
2. No.

3. Was a Mediation Statement submitted on behalf of your client prior to the first session?

1. Yes
2. No

4. Was a settlement worked out at the mediation session (s)?

1. Yes
2. No

5. Approximately how many hours did the mediation session (s) last?

\_\_\_\_\_ hours

Mediator Evaluation

Page 3

6. How do you rate the Mediator on each of the following points:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
	1	2	3	4	5
Knowledge of the law	—	—	—	—	—
Preparation	—	—	—	—	—
Fairness and Impartiality	—	—	—	—	—
Creative Problem Solver	—	—	—	—	—

Comments \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. Was the Mediator effective in getting the parties to engage in meaningful discussion of this case?

\_\_\_\_\_ yes

\_\_\_\_\_ no

Explain \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

8. Check which of the following skills the Mediator possessed:

- (a) ability to listen actively. (  )
- (b) ability to analyze problems, identify and separate the issues involved and frame these issues for solution or decision making. (  )
- (c) ability to use clear neutral language in speaking. (  )

Mediator Evaluation  
Page 4

- (d) sensitivity to strongly felt values of the participants, including gender, ethnic, and cultural differences. (  )
- (e) ability to deal with complex factual materials. (  )
- (f) presence and persistence. Ability to create and maintain control of a diverse group of disputants. (  )
- (g) ability to earn trust and maintain acceptability. (  )
- (h) ability to screen out non-mediatable issues. (  )
- (i) ability to help parties to invent creative options. (  )
- (j) ability to help parties assess their non-settlement alternatives. (  )
- (k) ability to help the parties assess their own informed choices. (  )

9. Would you recommend this Mediator?

Not at all	Reluctantly	Somewhat	Highly
1	2	3	4
—	—	—	—

Any comments or suggestions you may have about the Mediator or the mediation program or its application to this case are welcome. Please use the back of this page.

THANK YOU.

Please return this questionnaire to: Robyn Weinstein, ADR Administrator  
United States District Court, Eastern District of New York  
225 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
robyn\_weinstein@nyed.uscourts.gov  
Phone: (718) 613-2875